

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/848,816	05/04/2001	Guy B. Irving	067856.0213	7915	
7590 11/12/2004			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Kevin J. Meek			DANG, KHANH		
Baker Botts L.L.P. Suite 600			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
2001 Ross Avenue			2111		
Dallas, TX 75	201-2980		DATE MAILED: 11/12/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/848,816	IRVING ET AL.					
Advisory Addidir	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Khanh Dang	2111					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 01 November 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appear Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applic) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper re	ply to a cation in				
	PLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens of CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three most parent by the mailing date of the shortened patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE e on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in the statutory period for the stat	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. 136(a) and the appropriat fee. The appropriate ex the final Office action; or	See MPEP te extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:						
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or	simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clai	ms.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejections:	tion(s)·						
 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 		eparate, timely file	d amendment				
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: Se	r reconsideration has been cons	sidered but does N	OT place the				
 The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection. 		to issues which we	ere newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:	•						
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app							
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement							
10. Other:		ras De					
		Khanh Da					



Application No.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: as discussed in the Final Rejection and the 10/8/04 Interview Summary, the Affidavits fails to overcome the rejections over Chen..